

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/797,084	AN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert J. Sandy	3677	

All Participants:

(1) Robert J. Sandy.

(2) Carol Druzbeck (Reg. No. 40,287).

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 July 2007

Time: ~9:30 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussion to amend specification to provide support for the claimed terminology pertaining to "a spring-loaded step configured to releasably lock the base in a predetermined position. Authorization was given to amend paragraph [0084] of the specification accordingly. See Examiner Amendment.